

Integral Equations and Fast Algorithms

Lecture 23: Nyström/projection error analysis

CS 598AK · November 12, 2013

Outline

IE discretization: Nyström

IE discretization: Projection

Compactness-based convergence

X Banach space (think: of functions)

Theorem (Not-quite-norm convergence [Kress LIE Cor 10.4])

$A_n : X \rightarrow X$ bounded linear operators,
functionwise convergent to $A : X \rightarrow X$

Then convergence is uniform on compact subsets $U \subset X$, i.e.

$$\sup_{\varphi \in U} \|A_n \varphi - A \varphi\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

Compactness-based convergence

X Banach space (think: of functions)

Theorem (Not-quite-norm convergence [Kress LIE Cor 10.4])

$A_n : X \rightarrow X$ bounded linear operators,
functionwise convergent to $A : X \rightarrow X$

Then convergence is uniform on compact subsets $U \subset X$, i.e.

$$\sup_{\varphi \in U} \|A_n \varphi - A \varphi\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

What is this about?

Compactness-based convergence

X Banach space (think: of functions)

Theorem (Not-quite-norm convergence [Kress LIE Cor 10.4])

$A_n : X \rightarrow X$ bounded linear operators,
functionwise convergent to $A : X \rightarrow X$

Then convergence is uniform on compact subsets $U \subset X$, i.e.

$$\sup_{\varphi \in U} \|A_n \varphi - A \varphi\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

What is this about?

How is it different from norm convergence?

Compactness-based convergence

X Banach space (think: of functions)

Theorem (Not-quite-norm convergence [Kress LIE Cor 10.4])

$A_n : X \rightarrow X$ bounded linear operators,
functionwise convergent to $A : X \rightarrow X$

Then convergence is uniform on compact subsets $U \subset X$, i.e.

$$\sup_{\varphi \in U} \|A_n \varphi - A \varphi\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

What is this about?

How is it different from norm convergence?

Only on compact subsets of X !

Compactness-based convergence

X Banach space (think: of functions)

Theorem (Not-quite-norm convergence [Kress LIE Cor 10.4])

$A_n : X \rightarrow X$ bounded linear operators,
functionwise convergent to $A : X \rightarrow X$

Then convergence is uniform on compact subsets $U \subset X$, i.e.

$$\sup_{\varphi \in U} \|A_n \varphi - A \varphi\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

Compactness-based convergence

X Banach space (think: of functions)

Theorem (Not-quite-norm convergence [Kress LIE Cor 10.4])

$A_n : X \rightarrow X$ bounded linear operators,
functionwise convergent to $A : X \rightarrow X$

Then convergence is uniform on compact subsets $U \subset X$, i.e.

$$\sup_{\varphi \in U} \|A_n \varphi - A \varphi\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

Set \mathcal{A} of operators $A : X \rightarrow X$

Definition (Collectively compact)

\mathcal{A} is called *collectively compact* iff
for $U \subset X$ bounded, $\mathcal{A}(U)$ is relatively compact.

Compactness-based convergence

X Banach space (think: of functions)

Theorem (Not-quite-norm convergence [Kress LIE Cor 10.4])

$A_n : X \rightarrow X$ bounded linear operators,
functionwise convergent to $A : X \rightarrow X$

Then convergence is uniform on compact subsets $U \subset X$, i.e.

$$\sup_{\varphi \in U} \|A_n \varphi - A \varphi\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

Set \mathcal{A} of operators $A : X \rightarrow X$

Definition (Collectively compact)

\mathcal{A} is called *collectively compact*
for $U \subset X$ bounded, $\mathcal{A}U$

What was relative compactness?

Compactness-based convergence

X Banach space (think: of functions)

Theorem (Not-quite-norm convergence [Kress LIE Cor 10.4])

$A_n : X \rightarrow X$ bounded linear operators,
functionwise convergent to $A : X \rightarrow X$

Then convergence is uniform on compact subsets $U \subset X$, i.e.

$$\sup_{\varphi \in U} \|A_n \varphi - A \varphi\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

Set \mathcal{A} of operators $A : X \rightarrow X$

Definition (Collective)

\mathcal{A} is called *collectively*
for $U \subset X$ bounded, \mathcal{A}

What was relative compactness?

Is each operator in the set compact?

Compactness-based convergence

X Banach space (think: of functions)

Theorem (Not-quite-norm convergence [Kress LIE Cor 10.4])

$A_n : X \rightarrow X$ bounded linear operators,
functionwise convergent to $A : X \rightarrow X$

Then convergence is uniform on compact subsets $U \subset X$, i.e.

$$\sup_{\varphi \in U} \|A_n \varphi - A \varphi\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

Set \mathcal{A} of operators $A :$

Definition (Collective)

\mathcal{A} is called *collectively*
for $U \subset X$ bounded, \mathcal{A}

What was relative compactness?

Is each operator in the set compact?

When is a sequence collectively compact?

Compactness-based convergence

X Banach space (think: of functions)

Theorem (Not-quite-norm convergence [Kress LIE Cor 10.4])

$A_n : X \rightarrow X$ bounded linear operators,
functionwise convergent to $A : X \rightarrow X$

Then convergence is uniform on compact subsets $U \subset X$, i.e.

$$\sup_{\varphi \in U} \|A_n \varphi - A \varphi\|$$

Set \mathcal{A} of operators $A :$

Definition (Collective)

\mathcal{A} is called *collectively*
for $U \subset X$ bounded, \mathcal{A}

What was relative compactness?

Is each operator in the set compact?

When is a sequence collectively compact?

Is the limit operator of such a sequence compact?

Compactness-based convergence

X Banach space (think: of functions)

Theorem (Not-quite-norm convergence [Kress LIE Cor 10.4])

$A_n : X \rightarrow X$ bounded linear operators,
functionwise convergent to $A : X \rightarrow X$

Then convergence is uniform

$$\sup_{\varphi \in U} \|A_n \varphi - A \varphi\| \rightarrow 0$$

Set \mathcal{A} of operators $A :$

Definition (Collectively compact)

\mathcal{A} is called *collectively compact*
for $U \subset X$ bounded, \mathcal{A}

What was relative compactness?

Is each operator in the set compact?

When is a sequence collectively compact?

Is the limit operator of such a sequence compact?

How can we use the two together?

Making use of collective compactness

X Banach space, $A_n : X \rightarrow X$, (A_n) collectively compact, $A_n \rightarrow A$ functionwise.

Just showed

Corollary (Post-compact convergence [Kress LIE Cor 10.8])

- $\|(A_n - A)A\| \rightarrow 0$
- $\|(A_n - A)A_n\| \rightarrow 0$

$(n \rightarrow \infty)$

Making use of collective compactness

Assume $(I - A)^{-1}$ exists, with A compact, (A_n) collectively compact and $A_n \rightarrow A$ functionwise.

$$I + (I - A)^{-1}A = ?$$

Making use of collective compactness

Assume $(I - A)^{-1}$ exists, with A compact, (A_n) collectively compact and $A_n \rightarrow A$ functionwise.

$$I + (I - A)^{-1}A = ?$$

Use this to cook up an approximate inverse B_n of $(I - A_n)$.

Making use of collective compactness

Assume $(I - A)^{-1}$ exists, with A compact, (A_n) collectively compact and $A_n \rightarrow A$ functionwise.

$$I + (I - A)^{-1}A = ?$$

Use this to cook up an approximate inverse B_n of $(I - A_n)$.

How good of an inverse is it?

Making use of collective compactness

Assume $(I - A)^{-1}$ exists, with A compact, (A_n) collectively compact and $A_n \rightarrow A$ functionwise.

$$I + (I - A)^{-1}A = ?$$

Use this to cook up an approximate inverse B_n of $(I - A_n)$.

How good of an inverse is it?

(Hint: multiply the A_n -only term by $(I - A)^{-1}(I - A)$)

Making use of collective compactness

Assume $(I - A)^{-1}$ exists, with A compact, (A_n) collectively compact and $A_n \rightarrow A$ functionwise.

$$I + (I - A)^{-1}A = ?$$

Use this to cook up an approximate inverse B_n of $(I - A_n)$.

How good of an inverse is it?

(Hint: multiply the A_n -only term by $(I - A)^{-1}(I - A)$)

What do we know about $S_n := (I - A)^{-1}(A_n - A)A_n$?

Making use of collective compactness

Assume $(I - A)^{-1}$ exists, with A compact, (A_n) collectively compact and $A_n \rightarrow A$ functionwise.

$$I + (I - A)^{-1}A = ?$$

Use this to cook up an approximate inverse B_n of $(I - A_n)$.

How good of an inverse is it?

(Hint: multiply the A_n -only term by $(I - A)^{-1}(I - A)$)

What do we know about $S_n := (I - A)^{-1}(A_n - A)A_n$?

If n is large enough, what can the Neumann series tell us?

Specifically, bound on $\|(I - S_n)^{-1}\|$?

Making use of collective compactness

Assume $(I - A)^{-1}$ exists, with A compact, (A_n) collectively compact and $A_n \rightarrow A$ functionwise.

$$I + (I - A)^{-1}A = ?$$

Use this to cook up an approximate inverse B_n of $(I - A_n)$.

How good of an inverse is it?

(Hint: multiply the A_n -only term by $(I - A)^{-1}(I - A)$)

What do we know about $S_n := (I - A)^{-1}(A_n - A)A_n$?

If n is large enough, what can the Neumann series tell us?

Specifically, bound on $\|(I - S_n)^{-1}\|$?

If $B_n(I - A_n) = I - S_n$, can $(I - A_n)$ have a nullspace?

Making use of collective compactness

Assume $(I - A)^{-1}$ exists, with A compact, (A_n) collectively compact and $A_n \rightarrow A$ functionwise.

$$I + (I - A)^{-1}A = ?$$

Use this to cook up an approximate inverse B_n of $(I - A_n)$.

How good of an inverse is it?

(Hint: multiply the A_n -only term by $(I - A)^{-1}(I - A)$)

What do we know about $S_n := (I - A)^{-1}(A_n - A)A_n$?

If n is large enough, what can the Neumann series tell us?

Specifically, bound on $\|(I - S_n)^{-1}\|$?

If $B_n(I - A_n) = I - S_n$, can $(I - A_n)$ have a nullspace?

$(I - A_n)^{-1}$?

Making use of collective compactness

Assume $(I - A)^{-1}$ exists, with A compact, (A_n) collectively compact and $A_n \rightarrow A$ functionwise.

$$I + (I - A)^{-1}A = ?$$

Use this to cook up an approximate inverse B_n of $(I - A_n)$.

How good of an inverse is it?

(Hint: multiply the A_n -only term by $(I - A)^{-1}(I - A)$)

What do we know about $S_n := (I - A)^{-1}(A_n - A)A_n$?

If n is large enough, what can the Neumann series tell us?

Specifically, bound on $\|(I - S_n)^{-1}\|$?

If $B_n(I - A_n) = I - S_n$
 $(I - A_n)^{-1}$?

Estimate $\|(I - A_n)^{-1}\|$.

Making use of collective compactness

Assume $(I - A)^{-1}$ exists, with A compact, (A_n) collectively compact and $A_n \rightarrow A$ functionwise.

$$I + (I - A)^{-1}A = ?$$

Use this to cook up an approximate inverse B_n of $(I - A_n)$.

How good of an inverse is it?

(Hint: multiply the A_n -only term by $(I - A)^{-1}(I - A)$)

What do we know about $S_n := (I - A)^{-1}(A_n - A)A_n$?

If n is large enough, what
Specifically, bound on $\|S_n\|$

If $B_n(I - A_n) = I - S_n$,
 $(I - A_n)^{-1}$?

Estimate $\|(I - A_n)^{-1}\|$.

Let $\varphi - A\varphi = f$ and $\varphi_n - A_n\varphi_n = f_n$.

$(I - A_n)(\varphi_n - \varphi) = ?$

Derive error estimate.

Anselone's theorem

Assume $(I - A)^{-1}$ exists, with $A : X \rightarrow X$ compact, $(A_n) : X \rightarrow X$ collectively compact and $A_n \rightarrow A$ functionwise.

Theorem (Nyström error estimate [Kress LIE Thm 10.9])

For sufficiently large n , $(I - A_n)$ is invertible and

$$\|\varphi_n - \varphi\| \leq \frac{1 + \|(I - A)^{-1}A_n\|}{1 - \|(I - A)^{-1}(A_n - A)A_n\|} (\|(A_n - A)\varphi\| + \|f_n - f\|)$$

Anselone's theorem

Assume $(I - A)^{-1}$ exists, with $A : X \rightarrow X$ compact, $(A_n) : X \rightarrow X$ collectively compact and $A_n \rightarrow A$ functionwise.

Theorem (Nyström error estimate [Kress LIE Thm 10.9])

For sufficiently large n , $(I - A_n)$ is invertible and

$$\|\varphi_n - \varphi\| \leq \frac{1 + \|(I - A)^{-1}A_n\|}{1 - \|(I - A)^{-1}(A_n - A)A_n\|} (\|(A_n - A)\varphi\| + \|f_n - f\|)$$

What's the key message of this estimate?

Anselone's theorem

Assume $(I - A)^{-1}$ exists, with $A : X \rightarrow X$ compact, $(A_n) : X \rightarrow X$ collectively compact and $A_n \rightarrow A$ functionwise.

Theorem (Nyström error estimate [Kress LIE Thm 10.9])

For sufficiently large n , $(I - A_n)$ is invertible and

$$\|\varphi_n - \varphi\| \leq C(\|(A_n - A)\varphi\| + \|f_n - f\|)$$

What's the key message of this estimate?

Anselone's theorem

Assume $(I - A)^{-1}$ exists, with $A : X \rightarrow X$ compact, $(A_n) : X \rightarrow X$ collectively compact and $A_n \rightarrow A$ functionwise.

Theorem (Nyström error estimate [Kress LIE Thm 10.9])

For sufficiently large n , $(I - A_n)$ is invertible and

$$\|\varphi_n - \varphi\| \leq C(\|(A_n - A)\varphi\| + \|f_n - f\|)$$

What's the key message of this estimate?

Fun fact: Can interchange roles of A and A_n .
What does that mean?

Anselone's theorem

Assume $(I - A)^{-1}$ exists, with $A : X \rightarrow X$ compact, $(A_n) : X \rightarrow X$ collectively compact and $A_n \rightarrow A$ functionwise.

Theorem (Nyström error estimate [Kress LIE Thm 10.9])

For sufficiently large n , $(I - A_n)$ is invertible and

$\|\varphi_n\|$

What's the key message of this estimate?

Fun fact: Can interchange roles of A and A_n .
What does that mean?

Nyström: *specific to $I + \text{compact}$* . Why?

Nyström: collective compactness

We've *assumed* collective compactness. Do we have that?

Nyström: collective compactness

We've *assumed* collective compactness. Do we have that?

Assume

$$\sum |\text{quad. weights for } n \text{ points}| \leq C \quad (\text{independent of } n) \quad (1)$$

Then $(A_n\varphi)$ bounded and equicontinuous. (Why?)

Nyström: collective compactness

We've *assumed* collective compactness. Do we have that?

Assume

$$\sum |\text{quad. weights for } n \text{ points}| \leq C \quad (\text{independent of } n) \quad (1)$$

Then $(A_n\varphi)$ bounded and equicontinuous. (Why?) (So?)

Nyström: collective compactness

We've *assumed* collective compactness. Do we have that?

Assume

$$\sum |\text{quad. weights for } n \text{ points}| \leq C \quad (\text{independent of } n) \quad (1)$$

Then $(A_n\varphi)$ bounded and equicontinuous. (Why?) (So?)

Also assumed functionwise uniform convergence, i.e.

$$\|A_n\varphi - A\varphi\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ for each } \varphi.$$

Follows from equicontinuity of $(A_n\varphi)$.

Nyström: collective compactness

We've *assumed* collective compactness. Do we have that?

Assume

$$\sum |\text{quad. weights for } n \text{ points}| \leq C \quad (\text{independent of } n) \quad (1)$$

Then $(A_n\varphi)$ bounded and equicontinuous. (Why?) (So?)

Also assumed functionwise uniform convergence, i.e.

$$\|A_n\varphi - A\varphi\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ for each } \varphi.$$

Follows from equicontinuity of $(A_n\varphi)$.

Assumption (1) is important to make all this work!

Outline

IE discretization: Nyström

IE discretization: Projection

Céa's Lemma: Convergence for Projection

X, Y Banach spaces, $A : X \rightarrow Y$ injective, $P_n : Y_n \rightarrow Y_n$

Theorem (Céa's Lemma [Kress LIE Thm 13.6])

Convergence of the projection method

\Leftrightarrow There exist n_0 and M such that for $n \geq n_0$

1. $P_n A : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ are invertible,
2. $\|(P_n A)^{-1} P_n A\| \leq M$.

In this case,

$$\|\varphi_n - \varphi\| \leq (1 + M) \inf_{\psi \in X_n} \|\varphi - \psi\|$$

Céa's Lemma: Convergence for Projection

X, Y Banach spaces, $A : X \rightarrow Y$ injective, $P_n : Y_n \rightarrow Y_n$

Theorem (Céa's Lemma [Kress LIE Thm 13.6])

Convergence of the projection method

\Leftrightarrow There exist n_0 and M such that for $n \geq n_0$

1. $P_n A : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ are invertible,
2. $\|(P_n A)^{-1} P_n A\| \leq M$.

In this case,

$$\|\varphi_n - \varphi\| \leq (1 + M) \inf_{\psi \in X_n} \|\varphi - \psi\|$$

- Defining equation for φ_n ? (Write RHS in terms of φ .)

Céa's Lemma: Convergence for Projection

X, Y Banach spaces, $A : X \rightarrow Y$ injective, $P_n : Y_n \rightarrow Y_n$

Theorem (Céa's Lemma [Kress LIE Thm 13.6])

Convergence of the projection method

\Leftrightarrow There exist n_0 and M such that for $n \geq n_0$

1. $P_n A : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ are invertible,
2. $\|(P_n A)^{-1} P_n A\| \leq M$.

In this case,

$$\|\varphi_n - \varphi\| \leq (1 + M) \inf_{\psi \in X_n} \|\varphi - \psi\|$$

- Defining equation for φ_n ? (Write RHS in terms of φ .)
- Solve for φ_n

Céa's Lemma: Convergence for Projection

X, Y Banach spaces, $A : X \rightarrow Y$ injective, $P_n : Y_n \rightarrow Y_n$

Theorem (Céa's Lemma [Kress LIE Thm 13.6])

Convergence of the projection method

\Leftrightarrow There exist n_0 and M such that for $n \geq n_0$

1. $P_n A : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ are invertible,
2. $\|(P_n A)^{-1} P_n A\| \leq M$.

In this case,

$$\|\varphi_n - \varphi\| \leq (1 + M) \inf_{\psi \in X_n} \|\varphi - \psi\|$$

- Defining equation for φ_n ? (Write RHS in terms of φ .)
- Solve for φ_n
- Components of the error? What if $\varphi \in X_n$?

Céa's Lemma: Convergence for Projection

X, Y Banach spaces, $A : X \rightarrow Y$ injective, $P_n : Y_n \rightarrow Y_n$

Theorem (Céa's Lemma [Kress LIE Thm 13.6])

Convergence of the projection method

\Leftrightarrow There exist n_0 and M such that for $n \geq n_0$

1. $P_n A : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ are invertible,
2. $\|(P_n A)^{-1} P_n A\| \leq M$.

In this case,

$$\|\varphi_n - \varphi\| \leq (1 + M) \inf_{\psi \in X_n} \|\varphi - \psi\|$$

- Defining equation for φ_n ? (Write RHS in terms of φ .)
- Solve for φ_n
- Components of the error? What if $\varphi \in X_n$?
- Build error estimate.

Céa's Lemma: Convergence for Projection

X, Y Banach spaces, $A : X \rightarrow Y$ injective, $P_n : Y_n \rightarrow Y_n$

Theorem (Céa's Lemma [Kress LIE Thm 13.6])

Convergence of the projection method

\Leftrightarrow There exist n_0 and M such that for $n \geq n_0$

1. $P_n A : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ are invertible,
2. $\|(P_n A)^{-1} P_n A\| \leq M$.

In this case,

$$\|\varphi_n - \varphi\| \leq (1 + M) \inf_{\psi \in X_n} \|\varphi - \psi\|$$

- Defining equation for φ_n ? (Write RHS in terms of φ .)
- Solve for φ_n
- Component
- Build error

Core message of the theorem?

Céa's Lemma: Convergence for Projection

X, Y Banach spaces, $A : X \rightarrow Y$ injective, $P_n : Y_n \rightarrow Y_n$

Theorem (Céa's Lemma [Kress LIE Thm 13.6])

Convergence of the projection method

\Leftrightarrow There exist n_0 and M such that for $n \geq n_0$

1. $P_n A : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ are invertible,
2. $\|(P_n A)^{-1} P_n A\| \leq M$.

In this case,

$$\|\varphi_n - \varphi\| \leq (1 + M) \inf_{\psi \in X_n} \|\varphi - \psi\|$$

- Defining eq
- Solve for φ_n
- Component
- Build error

Core message of the theorem?

What goes into P_n ?

Céa's Lemma: Convergence for Projection

X, Y Banach spaces, $A : X \rightarrow Y$ injective, $P_n : Y_n \rightarrow Y_n$

Theorem (Céa's Lemma [Kress LIE Thm 13.6])

Convergence of the projection method

\Leftrightarrow There exist n_0 and M such that for $n \geq n_0$

1. $P_n A : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ are invertible,
2. $\|(P_n A)^{-1} P_n A\| \leq M$.

In this case,

- Defining eq
- Solve for φ_n
- Component
- Build error

Core message of the theorem?

What goes into P_n ?

Note domain of invertibility for $P_n A$.

“Actual” domain?

Céa's Lemma: Convergence for Projection

X, Y Banach spaces, $A : X \rightarrow Y$ injective, $P_n : Y_n \rightarrow Y_n$

Theorem (Céa's Lemma [Kress LIE Thm 13.6])

Convergence of the projection method

\Leftrightarrow There exist n_0 and M such that for $n \geq n_0$

1. $P_n A : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ are invertible,
2. $\|(P_n A)^{-1} P_n\| \leq M$

In this case,

- Defining eq
- Solve for φ_n
- Component
- Build error

Core message of the theorem?

What goes into P_n ?

Note domain of invertibility for $P_n A$.
"Actual" domain?

Why can't it be invertible on the full domain?

Céa's Lemma: Convergence for Projection

X, Y Banach spaces, $A : X \rightarrow Y$ injective, $P_n : Y_n \rightarrow Y_n$

Theorem (Céa's Lemma [Kress LIE Thm 13.6])

Convergence of the projection method

\Leftrightarrow There exist n_0 and M such that for $n > n_0$

1. $P_n A : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ invertible
2. $\|(P_n A)^{-1} P_n\| \leq M$

In this case,

- Defining eq
- Solve for φ_n
- Component
- Build error

Core message of the theorem?

What goes into P_n ?

Note domain of invertibility for $P_n A$.
"Actual" domain?

Why can't it be invertible on the full domain?

Extreme example: Mean-as-only-DoF

Céa's Lemma: Convergence for Projection

X, Y Banach spaces, $A : X \rightarrow Y$ injective, $P_n : Y_n \rightarrow Y_n$

Theorem (Céa's Lemma [Kress LIE Thm 13.6])

Convergence of \dots

\Leftrightarrow There exist n

1. $P_n A : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$
2. $\|(P_n A)^{-1} P_n\|$

In this case,

- Defining eq
- Solve for φ_n
- Component
- Build error

Core message of the theorem?

What goes into P_n ?

Note domain of invertibility for $P_n A$.
"Actual" domain?

Why can't it be invertible on the full domain?

Extreme example: Mean-as-only-DoF

Domain/range of $(P_n A)^{-1} P_n A$?

Céa's Lemma: Convergence for Projection

X, Y Banach spaces, $A: X \rightarrow Y$ injective, $P_n: Y_n \rightarrow Y_n$

Theorem (Céa's)

Convergence of $P_n A^{-1} P_n$

\Leftrightarrow There exist n_0

1. $P_n A: X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ invertible
2. $\|(P_n A)^{-1} P_n\| \leq C$

In this case,

- Defining eq
- Solve for φ_n
- Component
- Build error

Core message of the theorem?

What goes into P_n ?

Note domain of invertibility for $P_n A$.
"Actual" domain?

Why can't it be invertible on the full domain?

Extreme example: Mean-as-only-DoF

Domain/range of $(P_n A)^{-1} P_n A$?

Relationship to conditioning?

Céa's Lemma

X, Y Banach spaces

Theorem (Céa's Lemma)

Convergence of Galerkin approximation

\Leftrightarrow There exist n_0 such that

1. $P_n A : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ is invertible
2. $\|(P_n A)^{-1} P_n A\| < 1$

In this case,

- Defining equation
- Solve for φ_n
- Componentwise error
- Build error estimate

Core message of the theorem?

What goes into P_n ?

Note domain of invertibility for $P_n A$.
"Actual" domain?

Why can't it be invertible on the full domain?

Extreme example: Mean-as-only-DoF

Domain/range of $(P_n A)^{-1} P_n A$?

Relationship to conditioning?

Relationship to second-kind?

Céa's Lemma

X, Y Banach spaces

Theorem (Céa's Lemma)

Convergence of the iterates

\Leftrightarrow There exist n_0 and $C < 1$ such that

1. $P_n A : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ is invertible
2. $\|(P_n A)^{-1} P_n\| \leq C$

In this case,

- Defining equation
- Solve for φ_n
- Componentwise
- Build error estimate

Core message of the theorem?

What goes into P_n ?

Note domain of invertibility for $P_n A$.

“Actual” domain?

Why can't it be invertible on the full domain?

Extreme example: Mean-as-only-DoF

Domain/range of $(P_n A)^{-1} P_n A$?

Relationship to conditioning?

Relationship to second-kind?

Exact projection methods: hard. (Why?)

Céa's Lemma

X, Y Banach spaces

Theorem (Céa's Lemma)

Convergence of iterative method

\Leftrightarrow There exist n_0 such that

1. $P_n A : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ is invertible
2. $\|(P_n A)^{-1} P_n\| < \frac{1}{2}$

In this case,

- Defining equation
- Solve for φ_n
- Componentwise
- Build error estimate

What goes into P_n ?

Note domain of invertibility for $P_n A$.

“Actual” domain?

Why can't it be invertible on the full domain?

Extreme example: Mean-as-only-DoF

Domain/range of $(P_n A)^{-1} P_n$?

Relationship to conditioning?

Relationship to second-kind?

Exact projection methods: hard. (Why?)

What if we implement a perturbation?

Questions?

?